

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,124	08/26/2003	Nobuyuki Saika	16869S-091000US	4758
20350	7590 08/22/2006		EXAM	INER
	D AND TOWNSEND	LE, MICHAEL		
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 08/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/649,124	SAIKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Le	2163				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
_	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 May 2006</u> .					
,	,—					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-27</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 3, 2006 has been entered.

Summary and Status of Claims

- 1. This Office Action is in response to Applicant's reply filed May 3, 2006.
- 2. Claims 11-18 are cancelled.
- 3. Claims 19-27 are pending.
- 4. Claims 19, 20, 22-24, 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burgess et al. (US Patent 5,796,633) of record, in view of Hasbun et al. (US Patent 6,311,290) of record, further in view of Chong et al. (US Provisional Application 60/392,022) of record.
- 5. Claims 21 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burgess et al. (US Patent 5,796,633) of record, in view of Hasbun et al. (US Patent 6,311,290) of record, further in view of Chong et al. (US Provisional Application 60/392,022) of record, in view of Voigt et al. (US Patent 5,463,776) of record.
- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Art Unit: 2163

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. Claims 19, 20, 22-24, 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burgess et al. (US Patent 5,796,633) of record, hereinafter "Burgess", in view of Hasbun et al. (US Patent 6,311,290) of record, hereinafter "Hasbun", further in view of Chong et al. (US Provisional Application 60/392,022) of record, hereinafter "Chong".
- 8. In regards to **claim 19**, Burgess discloses a performance data management method for managing performance data of a computer system which includes an information processing device (Burgess: Fig. 2, element 26) and at least one storage system (Burgess: fig. 2, element 36) which includes a controller and a storage area (Burgess: col. 4, lines 2-7)¹, the method executed by the controller comprising:
 - a. detecting an amount of free space of the storage area (Burgess: col. 7, lines 11-19);
 - acquiring performance data from the computer system (Burgess: col. 5, lines 18-20; col. 8, lines 19-27)²; and
 - c. a step in which the controller stores the acquired performance data in the storage area (Burgess: col. 8, lines 30-32).
- 9. Burgess does not expressly disclose inputting, from the information processing device, data acquisition levels each of which defines items of performance data according to each of the

¹ Monitoring and tracking agent is interpreted as a controller for controlling the storage area because it stores the data and performs other tasks in accordance with the storage, such as notification of other modules.

² The monitoring and tracking agent (controller) has a logging thread that obtains performance data.

Art Unit: 2163

data acquisition levels, calculating an amount of performance data according to each of the data acquisition levels, selecting a data acquisition level according to the detected amount of free space by comparing the calculated amount of performance data of each of the data acquisition levels with the amount of free space when an amount of the acquired performance data is more than the detected amount of free space and selecting, from the acquired performance data, performance data of the data items corresponding to the selected data acquisition level.

- 10. Hasbun discloses determining a method for storing data depending on the detected free space and storing the data according to the determined method (Hasbun: col. 26, lines 12-24). Hasbun also discloses determining the capacity of the data to be stored and a storing step that includes, when the detected free space is greater than the capacity of the data to be stored, the data is stored and when the detected free space is less than the capacity of the data to be stored, as much of the data is stored to the space as possible until more space can be reclaimed, at which time more of the data is stored as space becomes available (Hasbun: col. 26, lines 25-34; col. 27, lines 3-20).
- 11. Chong discloses obtaining and storing performance data acquisition information relating to items for which performance data is acquired and relating to acquisition levels, wherein each level provides different level of details for monitoring (Chong: para. 0013, 0014).
- 12. Burgess, Hasbun and Chong are analogous art because they are all directed towards the same field of endeavor of performance data management.
- 13. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Burgess by adding the steps of inputting, from the information processing device, data acquisition levels each of which defines items of performance data

Art Unit: 2163

according to each of the data acquisition levels, calculating an amount of performance data according to each of the data acquisition levels, selecting a data acquisition level according to the detected amount of free space by comparing the calculated amount of performance data of each of the data acquisition levels with the amount of free space when an amount of the acquired performance data is more than the detected amount of free space and selecting, from the acquired performance data, performance data of the data items corresponding to the selected data acquisition level, as taught by Hasbun and Chong.

- 14. The motivation for doing so would have been because adding acquisition levels provides a faster method for users to select what items from which to acquire performance data, since a user does not have to select each individual item, but instead only a level. Furthermore, data storage space has a finite capacity, therefore continuous storage into a finite space needs to be managed as dependent on the amount of free space available in the storage device in order to efficiently utilize the space available.
- 15. In regards to **claim 20**, Burgress discloses an interval for storing performance data to the storage area (Burgess: col.13, lines 42-44).
- 16. Burgess does not expressly disclose if the amount of the acquired performance data is more than the detected amount of free space, increasing an interval.
- 17. Hasbun discloses determining a method for storing data depending on the detected free space and storing the data according to the determined method (Hasbun: col. 26, lines 12-24). Hasbun also discloses determining the capacity of the data to be stored and a storing step that includes, when the detected free space is greater than the capacity of the data to be stored, the

Art Unit: 2163

data is stored and when the detected free space is less than the capacity of the data to be stored, as much of the data is stored to the space as possible until more space can be reclaimed, at which time more of the data is stored as space becomes available (Hasbun: col. 26, lines 25-34; col. 27, lines 3-20).

- 18. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the combined method of Burgess, Hasbun and Chong by adding the step of determining if the amount of the acquired performance data is more than the detected amount of free space, taught by Hasbun, increasing the interval of Burgess.
- 19. The motivation for doing so would have been because determining an interval for acquiring performance data makes it easier for a user because a user does not have to manually acquire the data each time (Chong: para. 0017, lines 1-7). The use of acquisition levels further adds convenience for the user because the user can monitor preset groups of items without having to select them individually (Chong: para. 0013, 0014). Determining a writing scheme is advantageous because as data is stored, the amount of available memory decreases, which effects the storage strategy. Detecting the amount of free space available after storing data and determining a new storage strategy prevents situations where storage is unexpectedly full.
- 20. In regards to **claim 22**, the limitation was addressed above in the rejection to claim 19 as disclosed by Hasbun. Hasbun discloses determining the capacity of the data to be stored and a storing step that includes, when the detected free space is less than the capacity of the data to be stored, as much of the data is stored to the space as possible (extracting one or more portions of the performance data and storing the generated performance summary data to the storage area)

Art Unit: 2163

until more space can be reclaimed, at which time more of the data is stored as space becomes available (Hasbun: col. 26, lines 25-34; col. 27, lines 3-20).

- 21. Claims 23, 24 and 26 are substantially similar to claims 19, 20 and 22 respectively in the form of a method and are rejected for the same rationale.
- 22. Claim 27 is substantially similar to claim 19 in the form of a computer program product and is rejected for the same rationale.
- Claims 21 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burgess et al. (US Patent 5,796,633) of record, hereinafter "Burgess", in view of Hasbun et al. (US Patent 6,311,290) of record, hereinafter "Hasbun", further in view of Chong et al. (US Provisional Application 60/392,022) of record, hereinafter "Chong", in view of Voigt et al. (US Patent 5,463,776) of record, hereinafter "Voigt".
- 24. In regards to **claim 21**, Hasbun discloses determining the capacity of the data to be stored and a storing step that includes, when the detected free space is greater than the capacity of the data to be stored, the data is stored and when the detected free space is less than the capacity of the data to be stored, as much of the data is stored to the space as possible until more space can be reclaimed, at which time more of the data is stored as space becomes available (Hasbun: col. 26, lines 25-34; col. 27, lines 3-20).
- 25. Burgess, Hasbun and Chong do not expressly disclose deleting old data of the performance data from the storage area to make new free space in the storage area and storing new data of the performance data in the new free space.

Art Unit: 2163

26. Voigt discloses a space manager for managing the storage area by determining if the

amount of free space available below a predetermined lower threshold level, in which case the

space manager will attempt to create free space until the amount of free space is equal to an

upper threshold amount of free space (Voigt: col. 4, lines 40-55). Voigt further discloses that

free space can be created by deleting old files (Voigt: col. 4, lines 34-37).

27. Burgess, Hasbun, Chong and Voigt are analogous art because they are directed to the

same field of endeavor of performance data management.

28. The motivation for doing so would have been because data storage space has a finite

capacity, therefore continuous storage into a finite space needs to be managed as dependent on

the amount of free space available in the storage device in order to efficiently utilize the space

available (Voigt: col. 1, lines 26-51).

29. Claim 25 is substantially similar to claim 21 in the form of a method and is rejected for

the same rationale.

Response to Amendment

Rejection of Claims 11-18 under 35 U.S.C 112, Second Paragraph

30. Claims 11-18 are cancelled rendering the rejection to them under 35 U.S.C. 112, Second

Paragraph moot.

Rejection of Claims 16-18 under 35 U.S.C 101

31. Claims 16-18 are cancelled rendering the rejection to them under 35 U.S.C. 101 moot.

Art Unit: 2163

Rejection of claims 11-18 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

32. Claims 11-18 are cancelled rendering the rejection to them under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) moot.

Response to Arguments

Rejection of claims 19-27 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

33. Claims 19-27 are rejected as set forth above. The prior art of record was relied upon to

make the rejection. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one

cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based

on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In

re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

34. Additionally, Applicant concedes that the prior art discloses limitations of the invention

(Page 7 of the Remarks). Applicant's arguments in regard to Burgess fail to comply with 37

CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable

invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably

distinguishes them from the references. In regards to Hasbun, the limitation pointed out by

Applicant is not recited in the language of the claims.

Conclusion

35. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael Le whose telephone number is 571-272-7970. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs: 9:30am-6pm, Fri: 8am-4:30pm.

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 10/649,124

Art Unit: 2163

36. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on 571-272-1834. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

37. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Le Art Unit 2163 August 20, 2006 UYEN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER